1	Edward C. Schewe, Bar No. 143,554	
2	ed@schewelaw.com SCHEWE & ASSOCIATES	
3	SCHEWE & ASSOCIATES 1600 Rosecrans Avenue, 4 th Floor Manhattan Beach, California 90266	
4	Tel (310) 321-7892 Fax (310) 321-7891	
5	Edward P. Kelly (pro hac vice to be file	d)
6	Edward P. Kelly (pro hac vice to be file ekelly@tkiplaw.com TIAJOLOFF & KELLY LLP	
7	405 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10174	
8	Tel (212) 490-3285 Fax (212) 490-3295	
9	Attorneys for Defendants ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1 INC.	
10	ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1, INC. and IGOR ZOMIN, JR.	
11		
12	LINITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
13		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	CENTRAL DISTR	ICI OF CALIFORNIA
15	OTTER PRODUCTS, LLC,) CASE NO.: CV 12-04193 SJO (SHx)
16	or illicition corresponds to the corresponding to t) ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE
17	Plaintiff,	DEFENSES OF DEFENDANTS
18	v.	ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1, INC. AND IGOR ZOMIN, JR. TO
19		PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT
20	ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1, INC. and IGOR ZOMIN, JR.,	}
21	Defendente	
22	Defendants.) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23		_)
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
ı		

Defendants One Click Enterprises 1, Inc. ("One Click") and Igor Zomin, Jr. ("Zomin") ("Collectively referred to as "Defendants" unless each is referred to individually"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, answers and asserts affirmative defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint, and respectfully alleges as follows:

- 1. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 1,
 - 2. Defendant One Click denies the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 2.
 - 3. Defendant Zomin denies the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 3.
 - 4. Defendants deny the allegations of Paragraph 4.
- 5. Defendants are without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 5.
 - 6. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6.
 - 7. Defendants deny of the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 7.
- 8. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, except admit that the Plaintiff purports to allege jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C 1121 and/or 28 U.S.C 1331 and/or 1338.
 - 9. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 9.
 - 10. Defendants deny the truth of allegations of Paragraph 10.
 - 11. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 11.
- 12. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 12.
- 13. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 13.
- 14. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 14.
- 15. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 15.

2 3

> 4 5 6

8 9

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21

22

20

23

24

25 26

27

28

- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 16. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 16.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 17. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 17.
- 18. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 18.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 19. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 19.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 20. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 20.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 21. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 21.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 22. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 22.
- 23. Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 23.
 - Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 24. 24.
 - Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 25. 25.
 - Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 26. 26.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 27. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 27.
- Defendants deny having knowledge or information sufficient to form a 28. belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 28.
 - 29. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 29.
 - 30. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 30.
 - 31. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 31.
 - Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 32. 32.
 - 33. Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 33.

Defendants deny the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 57. 57. **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 3 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 5 58. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 7 59. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred by the doctrine of waiver, laches and/or estoppel. 8 9 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 60. Defendants have at all times acted in good faith and without knowledge of any rights Plaintiff's may have in the claimed trademarks. 11 12 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred by their corresponding 13 61. statute of limitations. 14 15 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Without admitting that the Complaint states a claim, there has been no 16 62. damage in any amount or manner by reason of any act alleged against Defendants 17 in the Complaint, and therefore, the relief prayed for in the Amended Complaint 18 cannot be granted. 19 20 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 21 Defendants have not infringed the respective trademarks under federal or 63. 22 state law. 23 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's damages, if any, were not caused by Defendants. 24 64. 25 EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff is barred in whole or in part by virtue of liability being upon 26 65. other individuals or entities over whom Defendants had no control or duty to 27 28 control.

1	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	66. The claims asserted in the Complaint are barred by Plaintiff's unclean	
3	hands and wrongful conduct.	
4	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5	67. This Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendants.	
6	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	68. Venue in this judicial district is not proper.	
8		
9	ADDITIONAL DEFENSE	
10	69. Defendants reserve the right to assert additional defenses based on	
11	information learned or obtained during discovery.	
12		
13	WHEREFORE, Defendants demand judgment:	
14	1	
15	a. Dismissing all of Plaintiff's claims with prejudice;	
16	b. Granting the Defendants any such other and further relief as the Court	
17	finds just and proper.	
18		
19		
20		
21	SCHEWE & ASSOCIATES	
22		
23	Dated: June 14, 2012 By: /s/	
24	Edward C. Schewe Attorneys for Defendants	
2526	ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1, INC. and	
20 27	IGOR ZOMIN, JR.	
28		

1	Of Counsel:	
2	Edward P. Kelly (pro hac vice to be filed) ekelly @tkiplaw.com	
3	TIAJOLOFF & KELLY LLP	
4	405 Lexington Avenue	
5	New York, New York 10174 Tel (212) 490-3295	
6	Fax (212) 490-3285	
7		
8	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
9		
10	Defendant hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable in this	
11	action.	
12		
13	Respectfully submitted,	
14	SCHEWE & ASSOCIATES	
15		
16	Dated: June 14, 2012 By: <u>/s/</u>	
17	Edward C. Schewe	
18	Attorneys for Defendant ONE CLICK ENTERPRISES 1, INC. and	
19	IGOR ZOMIN, JR.	
20		
21	Of Counsel:	
22	Edward P. Kelly (pro hac vice to be filed)	
23	ekelly @tkiplaw.com	
	TIAJOLOFF & KELLY LLP 405 Lexington Avenue	
24	New York, New York 10174	
25	Tel (212) 490-3295	
26	Fax (212) 490-3285	
27		
28		
	1	